Class and Section: MIS4173-601 Date: 03/08/2022

## **Assignment: Sprint Review & Retrospective 2**

Team 10:

Wandaliz Baret Luna, Matthew Forbes, Darius Smith, Joshua Wrenn

SR&R 2 Documentation Grade: **90** SR&R 2 Presentation Grade: **95**

***Presentation graded with COB BCC Rubric by Richard O’Dor***

#### SR&R 2 – Overall

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Content  (Overall Project) | 4- Exceptional  3 -Good  2- Satisfactory  1- Poor  0 -Unacceptable | **Comments:**  You all did a good job on your first sprint. I know you will continue to improve. Take my feedback and change what needs to be changed. If you need anything, let me know.  Dr. Kisling (03/08/2022) |

#### SR&R 2 – Documentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Overall Organization** |  |  |
| Title Page | **2** | 2 |
| Table of Contents | **2** | 1 |
| **SR&R 2 Required Documents** |  |  |
| **Project Documents New, Fixes, & Updates** |  |  |
| Previous Documentation Updates | **15** | 14 |
| Preliminary Non-functional Requirements | **20** | 16 |
| New Documentation | **20** | 20 |
| **Sprint Documents** |  |  |
| Updated Product Backlog | **7** | 6 |
| Sprint 2 Backlog | **7** | 6 |
| Sprint 2 Burn Down Chart | **7** | 6 |
| Sprint 2 Daily SCRUM Meeting Log | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 2 Team Evaluation Document | **7** | 7 |
| **Updated Project Plan** | **6** | 5 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 90 |

#### SR&R 2 – Presentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Presentation** |  |  |
| Overall Organization of Presentation | **5** | 5 |
| Introduction to Presentation | **5** | 5 |
| Preliminary Supplement Specifications  (Non-functional Requirements) | **15** | 12 |
| Project Updates (please include UCD & CD) | **15** | 14 |
| **Sprint Items** |  |  |
| Updated Product Backlog | **10** | 10 |
| Sprint 2 Backlog | **10** | 9 |
| Sprint 2 Burn Down Chart | **10** | 10 |
| Sprint 2 Potentially Shippable Product Increment | **20** | 20 |
| **Discussion with Class** |  |  |
| Facilitation | **5** | 5 |
| Input from peers | **5** | 5 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 95 |

**Comments:**

DOCUMENTATION

* Your document report you included looks professional, but see the following issues:
  + TOC needs to align with the Sprint 6 Grading Sheet—You will have 5 sections
  + You need to add Cultural and Political even if you say “None”—that way the reader knows it is covered
  + Do not keep old diagrams—there should only be one CD and one UCD in the document
  + LOOK at the examples on Canvas to see how some teams’ layout looks of their report
  + Ask me if you have any questions
* Your non-functional requirements were okay—see my comment above and below
* See comments about UCD and CD below
* Sprint items
  + Backlogs are okay—see my comments here and below
    - You have not populated the user requirements in the System Product Backlog worksheet, but you have put some on the Sprint 2 backlog—they need to be in the main backlog before you can put them in a sprint backlog
    - Status is supposed to be OPEN or CLOSED, not a %
  + SCRUM meeting log is good
  + Burndown chart is okay—but you did not record total time on the top right of the Burndown sheet
  + Sprint 2 team evaluation is good—cannot verify the 66.5 hours since it was not recorded on the Burndown worksheet at the top right
* The Project plan is good—You need to show the time that will be allocated for all sprints—remember, this is a projection of the future—it is a plan
* If you need help with anything, please let me know. I am here to help you all be successful

PRESENTATION

* You did a good job on the content you provided
* The non-functional requirements are good—it would have been better if you had the four/five categories of Operational, Performance, Security, and Cultural and Political—in fact you did not include any Cultural and Political
* The UCD is very good
* The CD needs data flowing back to the Employee entity
* All sprint items were good except:
  + The Project Product Backlog needs to start just showing items that are after item 29—I need to start seeing user requirements for the system
  + The Sprint 2 backlog has items listed that are not requirements—anything that is not from the 29 documentation items and anything that is not a system user requirement does not belong—e.g., Sprint 2 Presentation is not a requirement and does not belong
* The PSPI looks fine
* Mr. O’Dor gave you all a 2.67/4 = 66.75%. You can see I gave you a 95%, **Remember**, he grades the SR&R 6 Presentation, I do not. Read his feedback and do his suggestions.